## For the Northern District of California

27

28

Dated: August 22, 2013

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	LIAT ORSHANSKY, No. C 12-06342 CRB
12	Plaintiff, ORDER
13	v.
14	L'OREAL USA INC,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	Now pending before the Court is plaintiff's class action against defendant L'oreal
18	USA, Inc. A similar suit was previously filed against L'oreal in the Southern District of New
19	York, Leebove v. Maybelline, SDNY No. 12-cv-7146. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1404(a)
20	the Court finds that it may be in the interests of justice to transfer this action to the Southern
21	District of New York. The parties are hereby ORDERED to show cause why the action
22	should or should not be transferred to the Southern District of New York. The parties shall
23	have 14 days from the issuance of this Order to file a response with the Court.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	FR

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE